

# Spatially Ordered Fractional Quantum Hall States

Leon Balents

*Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-4030*

(February 2, 1995)

Fractional quantum Hall liquids can accomodate various degrees of spatial ordering. The most likely scenarios are a Hall hexatic, Hall smectic, and Hall crystal, in which respectively orientational, one-dimensional translational, and two-dimensional translational symmetries are broken. I derive the long-wavelength properties of these phases and the transitions between them using the Chern-Simons Landau-Ginzburg mapping, which relates them to spatially ordered superfluids. The effects of coupling to a periodic or anisotropic “substrate” (e.g. a gate array) are also discussed.

A general problem in condensed matter physics is the nature of quantum solids, or more precisely, zero temperature quantum states with broken spatial symmetries. It has long been realized that crystalline order could coexist with superfluidity in a bosonic system [1,2]. Such a “supersolid” has, in three dimensions, both off-diagonal long range order (ODLRO) and diagonal long range order (DLRO) in the density matrix. Ref. [3] introduced the Hall crystal, an electron crystal that nevertheless exhibits a quantized Hall effect [4]. Coexistence of superfluidity with spatial ordering has enjoyed a recent revival of interest [5–8], in part because of the mapping of thermally fluctuating vortex lines onto two dimensional quantum bosons [9]. In addition to the supersolid, under appropriate conditions superfluidity can coexist with hexatic (bond-orientational) order [7] and smectic (one-dimensional translational) order [8].

In this letter, I use the Chern-Simons Landau-Ginzburg (CSLG) theory [10] to find fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) analogs of these superfluid states. Using the CSLG formalism, it is shown below that the bosonic supersolid maps precisely to the Hall crystal of Ref. [3]. The other superfluid phases lead to new quantum hall states: the Hall hexatic and Hall smectic. These new phases are probably *more* likely to occur in experimental systems than the Hall crystal. Spatial ordering could be encouraged by applying an external periodic potential (e.g. gate array) to the two dimensional electron layer. In all cases, the Hall conductivity retains its usual quantized value.

I will consider a fermion hamiltonian of the form (in units with  $\hbar = 1$ )

$$H = \sum_i \left\{ -\frac{1}{2m} \left( \nabla_i + \frac{ie\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i)}{c} \right)^2 + U_s(\mathbf{r}_i) \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} V(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j), \quad (1)$$

where  $U_s(\mathbf{r})$  is a periodic substrate potential, and  $V(\mathbf{r})$  is a two body interaction, which may be either unscreened

Coulomb ( $\sim e^2/\epsilon r$ ) or screened to a short range form. The applied magnetic field is related to the vector potential by  $B = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ . The CSLG transformation defines a bosonic wavefunction

$$\Psi_B(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) = \prod_{j>k} e^{i\theta_{jk}\alpha} \Psi_F(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N), \quad (2)$$

where  $\theta_{jk} = \tan^{-1}[(y_j - y_i)/(x_j - x_i)]$ , and  $\alpha$  is an odd integer. The dynamics of the transformed system is described by the action

$$S_B = \int d^2\mathbf{r} dt \left\{ \psi_B^\dagger \left[ i\partial_t + \frac{1}{2m} \left( \nabla + \frac{ie\mathbf{A}}{c} \right)^2 \right] \psi_B - U_s n \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \int d^2\mathbf{r} d^2\mathbf{r}' dt \delta n(\mathbf{r}) V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta n(\mathbf{r}'), \quad (3)$$

where the density  $n = \psi_B^\dagger \psi_B$ , and the  $\delta n = n - \bar{n}$ , with  $\bar{n}$  the mean density. The effective vector potential  $\mathcal{A} = A + a$ , and the Chern-Simons gauge field satisfies  $\nabla \times a(\mathbf{r}) = -\alpha\phi_0 n(\mathbf{r})\hat{z}$ , with  $\phi_0 = hc/e$ . At the special filling fractions  $\bar{n}\phi_0/B = 1/\alpha = \nu$ , the mean magnetic field vanishes, and

$$\mathcal{A}_j(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \int d^2\mathbf{r}' \delta n(\mathbf{r}') \epsilon_{jk} \frac{r_k - r'_k}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^2}. \quad (4)$$

An important consequence of Eq.2 is that the boson density  $n$  is equal to the original fermion density. Therefore, spatial ordering of the bosonic system implies identical ordering of the underlying electrons.

It is useful to employ a representation in terms of the currents, in which Eq.4 becomes a consequence of the dynamics of an appropriate Chern-Simons term. This is achieved by making the decomposition  $\psi = \sqrt{\bar{n} + \delta n} e^{i\phi}$ , decoupling the  $|\nabla\phi|^2$  term via a Hubbard-Stratonovich field (current)  $\mathbf{J}$ , and integrating out the phase  $\phi$ . One finds

$$S_J = \int d^2\mathbf{r} dt \left\{ 2\pi \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{c} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_\mu K_\mu J_\mu^2 - e \mathbf{J} \cdot (a + A) + \frac{e^2\nu}{4\pi} a \cdot (\partial \times a) \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where the 3-current  $J = (n, \mathbf{J})$ ,  $a$  is the Chern–Simons gauge field, and  $c$  is a gauge field describing vortices in the composite boson superfluid, such that the vortex current  $j_v = \partial \times c$ . For simplicity of presentation, I have made a local approximation for the interaction  $V(\mathbf{r}) = v\delta(\mathbf{r})$ . A Coulomb interaction is easily accommodated by choosing  $v \propto q^{-1}$ . Within this approximation,  $K_0 = -v$ , and  $K_1 = K_2 = m/\bar{n}$ . In the remainder of the paper, I will denote 3-vectors by plain characters, and ordinary spatial (two dimensional) vectors in bold face.

Eq.5 is ideally suited for constructing Landau theories, because it is expressed directly in terms of physical currents. It must, however, be supplemented by charge conservation,  $\partial \cdot J = 0$ . Indeed, implementing this constraint via a Lagrange multiplier field  $\phi$  recovers the original phase description.

The Hall hexatic is characterized by broken rotational (but not translational) invariance. For generality, I will consider a p-atic order parameter  $\psi_p(\mathbf{r}, \tau) = \langle \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \exp(i\theta_{ij}) \rangle$ , where  $\theta_{ij}$  is the angle of the bond between particles  $i$  and  $j$ , and the sum is over a local set of “neighbors.” A more precise definition, which should be useful for microscopic calculations, is

$$\psi_p(\mathbf{r}, \tau) = \left\langle \int d^2\mathbf{r}' n(\mathbf{r}, \tau) n(\mathbf{r}', \tau) (z - z')^p e^{-|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^2/2} \right\rangle, \quad (6)$$

where  $z = x + iy$ . I have been unable to find a suitable decoupling to extract an action for  $\psi_p$  directly from Eq.3 [11]. Instead, in the spirit of Landau theory, I postulate a p-atic action ( $S = S_J + S_p$ )

$$S_p = \int d^2\mathbf{r} dt \left\{ \frac{\tilde{K}_p}{2} |\partial_t \psi_p|^2 - \frac{K_p}{2} |\nabla \psi_p|^2 - \frac{r_p}{2} |\psi_p|^2 - \frac{u_p}{4} |\psi_p|^4 + h_s (\psi_p^k + \text{c.c.}) \right\} \quad (7)$$

The substrate–bond angle interaction ( $\propto h_s$ ) is characterized by  $k$ , the smallest positive integer such that  $pk/m$  is also an integer, for a substrate with  $m$ -fold rotational symmetry.

Because of incompressibility, additional couplings to long-wavelength density and phase modes are irrelevant to the critical behavior (for a true bosonic superhexatic transition, such terms are relevant for small  $k$ ). When  $r_p$  changes sign from positive to negative, the system undergoes (for  $u_p > 0$ ) a second order Hall liquid to Hall hexatic transition. For  $\lambda_s = 0$ , or  $k > k_c \approx 3.41$ , the transition is in the 3d XY universality class [12]. For  $k = 2$  and  $k = 3$ , the transitions are of the 3d Ising and 3d chiral Potts type. Any of these transitions can, of course, also be first order.

For  $r_p < 0$ , the orientational order parameter may be decomposed  $\psi_p = \psi_{0p} e^{ip\theta}$ , leading via Eq.7 to the low energy action

$$S_p = \int d^2\mathbf{r} dt \left\{ \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_p}{2} (\partial_t \theta)^2 - \frac{\kappa_p}{2} |\nabla \theta|^2 + \eta_s \cos pk\theta \right\}, \quad (8)$$

where  $\tilde{\kappa}_p = p^2 |\psi_{0p}|^2 \tilde{K}_p$ ,  $\kappa_p = p^2 |\psi_{0p}|^2 K_p$ , and  $\eta_s = 2 |\psi_{0p}|^k h_s$ . Note that for  $\eta_s \neq 0$ , the bond-angle field  $\theta$  is actually massive, and there are no gapless modes associated with orientational fluctuations. The absence of these Goldstone modes is due to the discreteness of the rotational symmetry when substrate effects are included.

Measurable consequences of p-atic order arise from couplings of  $\psi_p$  to the currents. The most relevant terms consistent with rotational, inversion, reflection, and time-reversal symmetries are

$$S_{J-\theta} = \int d^2\mathbf{r} dt \left\{ (g_1 + \tilde{g}_1 J_0) \text{Re} [\psi_p^* (\partial_x + i\partial_y)^p] J_0 + g_2 \text{Re} [\psi_p^* (J_x - iJ_y)^p] + g_3 \partial_t \theta \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha J_\beta \right\}. \quad (9)$$

The  $g_1$  and  $\tilde{g}_1$  couplings reflect the anisotropy of density fluctuations in the p-atic background, while  $g_2$  allows “easy” propagation of currents along the p-atic axes. The  $\tilde{g}_1$  term gives rise to a  $p$ -fold modulation (which vanishes as  $|q|^{2+p}$  for small momentum due to incompressibility) in the intensity of the static structure function ( $\langle n(\mathbf{q}, t) n(-\mathbf{q}, t) \rangle$ ) with angle.

The third ( $g_3$ ) term in Eq.9 is irrelevant by power counting relative to the bond angle action, Eq.8. Nevertheless, it has an interesting interpretation, which may give rise to physical effects away from magic filling factors. It exists because a non-zero angular velocity of the bond angle field necessarily implies “twisting” currents, characterized by the vorticity  $\nabla \times \mathbf{J}$ . Additional insight is gained by integrating out the transverse part of the current. Following Zhang [10], I introduce a gauge field  $b$  satisfying  $J = \partial \times b$  to satisfy the continuity constraint, and integrate out both  $a$  and  $b_0$  in the Coulomb gauge  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0$ . The remaining action describes density fluctuations via  $\delta n = [\nabla \times \mathbf{b}]_0$ . At long wavelengths, the dominant remaining term is

$$S_{\delta n - \theta} = \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{d\omega}{(2\pi)} \frac{2\pi^2 \bar{n}}{m\nu^2 q^2} \left| \delta n - \nu n_v + \frac{\nu g_3}{2\pi} i\omega q^2 \theta \right|^2, \quad (10)$$

where  $n_v = j_{v,0}$  is the vortex density. For  $\theta = 0$ , Eq.10 gives the usual  $1/q^2$  gauge-field mediated density–density interaction term corresponding to the incompressibility of the FQH state (where vortices carry fractional charge  $\nu$ ). The cross-term coupling  $\theta$  and  $\delta n - \nu n_v$  is completely local, and demonstrates that a density fluctuation induces a finite local angular velocity. This occurs because each composite boson carries with it  $1/\nu$  flux tubes, so an increase in  $n$  must be accompanied by screening currents. These screening currents can only be carried by the electrons themselves, which sets the local

bond angle in motion. I note in passing that a similar coupling between the vorticity and bond-angular velocity also exists in a Bose superhexatic. It would be interesting to explore the consequences of this coupling on the properties of vortex states in a rotating superfluid.

The greatest degree of spatial ordering possible is two-dimensional crystallinity, and a phase in which this coexists with bosonic off-diagonal quasi-long-range order (ODQLRO) is called a Hall crystal. This state was proposed in Ref. [3] and analyzed using both general topological relations and a Hartree-Fock approach on a particular model. Here, I describe the correspondence of the Hall crystal to a bosonic supersolid, and how the modifications from supersolid behavior arise from Chern-Simons effects. All the predictions of the Chern-Simons theory are in accord with the earlier work of Ref. [3].

A number of authors have studied the existence of crystalline order in a superfluid state [1,2,5,6]. It is signaled by non-zero expectation values of the Fourier components of the density,

$$n_{\mathbf{G}} = \sum_j \exp(i\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}_j), \quad (11)$$

for all  $\mathbf{G}$  in the reciprocal lattice. This is precisely the additional order parameter of the Hall crystal given in Ref. [3].

To study the Hall crystal, I assume small phase fluctuations in the translational order parameters via  $n_{\mathbf{G}} = n_{\mathbf{G}0} \exp(i\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{u})$ . The  $\mathbf{u}$  field represents the Goldstone modes of broken translational invariance, i.e. phonons. For a high symmetry (e.g. hexagonal) crystal, it takes the form

$$S_u = \int d^2\mathbf{r} dt \left\{ \frac{\sigma}{2} |\partial_t \mathbf{u}|^2 - \mu u_{\alpha\beta}^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} u_{\alpha\alpha}^2 \right\}, \quad (12)$$

where  $u_{\alpha\beta} = (\partial_\alpha u_\beta + \partial_\beta u_\alpha)/2$  is the strain tensor. For simplicity, I have assumed there are no couplings to the substrate lattice, i.e. that the density wave is incommensurate. Such terms generally create a gap for phonons.

It is important to note that the definition of translational order in Eq.11 implies only short-wavelength (at wavevector  $\mathbf{Q}$ ) deformations of the density. In particular, the usual association of a compressional phonon with a change in the long-wavelength density need not hold, i.e.  $\delta n + n_0 u_{\alpha\alpha} \neq 0$ . This difference, which in conventional crystals is small at finite temperature and strictly zero at zero temperature, can be understood as arising due to a non-zero concentration of vacancies or interstitials in the crystal. A longitudinal phonon may be accompanied by an increase in the local vacancy density to keep the mean density uniform.

For the more relevant case of a *weak* density wave, where  $\langle n_{\mathbf{Q}} \rangle \ll n_0$ , it is more appropriate to describe the system in terms of a compressional phonon mode and a true long-wavelength density fluctuation (which can

be decomposed into a linear combination of the defect and lattice densities as suggested above). The modes are coupled via interactions of the form

$$S_{J-\mathbf{u}} = \int d^2\mathbf{r} dt \left\{ h_1 u_{\alpha\alpha} \delta n + \frac{h_2}{2} \partial_0 \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{J} \right\}. \quad (13)$$

In a true bosonic supersolid,  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  would mix the long-wavelength density fluctuations (sound waves) and lattice compressions, giving two new linearly dispersing modes. Because of incompressibility, however, a lattice compression  $u_{\alpha\alpha}$  does not lead to any change in the long-wavelength density  $\delta n$  as  $q \rightarrow 0$  in the Hall crystal (i.e. there remains a charge gap).

Following the methods used to derive Eq.10 and shifting  $\delta n \rightarrow \nu \delta n_v + \nu h_2 \partial_0 \theta / (2\pi)$  gives the form of the vortex-phonon interactions,

$$S_{n_v-\mathbf{u}} = \int d^2\mathbf{r} dt \left\{ \tilde{h}_1 n_v u_{\alpha\alpha} + \tilde{h}_2 \left[ K_0 + K_1 \frac{\partial_0^2}{\nabla^2} \right] n_v \partial_0 \theta \right\}, \quad (14)$$

where  $\theta = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha u_\beta$  is the local bond angle in the lattice,  $\tilde{h}_1 = \nu h_1$ , and  $\tilde{h}_2 = \nu^2 h_2 / (2\pi)$ . The first coupling describes the tendency of the lattice to stretch when a vortex (fractionally charged quasiparticle) is added to the system, while the second is present due to circulating screening currents (see the discussion after Eq.10). Mathematically similar terms have been studied in chiral polymer assemblies, where the incompatibility of such local rotation of the bond angle with crystallinity leads to very complex spatial structures [13]. Somewhat analogous physics might occur away from magic filling factors in a sufficiently clean sample.

As discussed in Ref. [8], anisotropy (from, e.g., an anisotropic effective mass tensor or a periodic external gate array) encourages the formation of a one-dimensional charge density wave (DW). I will denote such a state as a Hall smectic, by analogy with one-dimensionally ordered liquid crystals [14]. It is intermediate between the Hall crystal and liquid (or hexatic). I briefly summarize its properties, which may be derived analogously to those of the Hall crystal [15]. It can arise via a second order transition from the liquid or  $p$ -atic phase. The critical behavior (for a featureless or small-scale periodic substrate) is in the XY universality class, governed by the single complex order parameter  $n_{\mathbf{G}}$ , where  $\mathbf{G}$  is the wavevector of the DW. The low energy spectrum contains a single longitudinal phonon mode with linear dispersion. Vortices couple to this mode exactly as in Eq.14, where now  $\theta \propto \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} G_\alpha \partial_\beta u$ .

Much of the progress in understanding the FQHE occurred through the analysis of trial wavefunctions. What is an appropriate form for such a spatially ordered Hall system? The remarkable answer is that the original Laughlin wavefunctions actually describe these states for

certain (large) filling factors [16]. This can be seen using the well-known “plasma analogy” [17,18]. The probability density in the Laughlin state is

$$P_L = |\psi_L|^2 = e^{-U/k_B T}, \quad (15)$$

where  $k_B T = \nu$  and

$$U = -2q^2 \sum_{i < j} \ln |z_i - z_j| + \frac{q}{2} \sum_i |z_i|^2, \quad (16)$$

with  $q = 1/\nu$ . Evaluating a correlation function involving densities amounts to computing a classical statistical mechanical average in an  $N$  particle system with the energy  $U$ . Eq.16 implies that these particles interact like charges in a two dimensions. Such logarithmically interacting particles have been well-studied in the context of two-dimensional vortex films, from which it is known that they form a quasi-long-range ordered solid at low temperatures. Laughlin’s wavefunction therefore describes a state of broken translational symmetry for  $\nu < \nu_m$ , where  $1/109 \lesssim \nu_m \lesssim 1/59$  [19,20,15]. Provided melting occurs via a continuous or sufficiently weakly first order transition, the system will sustain a hexatic phase for  $\nu \gtrsim \nu_m$  [21]. Since Laughlin’s state quite generally exhibits the FQHE, his wavefunction in this regime must represent a Hall crystal and Hall hexatic. Of course, current estimates which place the critical filling fraction for Wigner crystallization at  $\nu \approx 1/7$  demonstrate that Laughlin’s wavefunction is no longer a good approximation in this regime [22]. Other wavefunctions may, however, display spatial order at larger fillings.

What are the chances of seeing these exotic phases experimentally? The absence of demonstrated sub-monolayer spatial ordering in  $^4\text{He}$  films suggests it may be difficult [23]. The helium experiments, are, however, complicated by problems of phase separation and relatively high particle density. The long range, purely repulsive electron–electron interaction should prohibit phase separation. The low carrier density allows, in principle, the fabrication of a periodic gate array to generate anisotropy and encourage ordering at particular wavevectors. Such a periodic potential has been argued (in ways which should carry over to the FQHE case) both theoretically [8] and numerically [24] to encourage supersolid order in helium films. Observation of any spatially ordered state is limited by the effects of randomly distributed donor impurities. General arguments show that such disorder always destabilizes incommensurate spatial ordering [25], leading to finite translational and orientational correlation lengths, as for the Wigner crystal [26]. A commensurate periodic substrate potential, however, allows true long range spatial order even in the presence of a weak impurity potential.

A final, intriguing possibility is suggested by comparison with helium films with many monolayers of coverage.

Such systems exhibit supersolid order by having a number of “inert” crystalline layers underneath a superfluid film. Although it may appear an uninteresting example, exchange of atoms between the inert and superfluid layers implies that the state is still non-trivial. A somewhat analogous situation might be achieved in a double layer quantum Hall heterostructure. By making the two layers inequivalent (either through fabrication or a bias voltage), a Wigner crystal could be formed in one layer while the other contains a Laughlin liquid. In the absence of tunneling and interactions, this situation is technically a “partial” Hall crystal, as defined in Ref. [3]. It would be interesting to explore the consequence of couplings between the two layers on such a state.

It is a pleasure to acknowledge discussions with Matthew Fisher, Steve Simon, and Andrew Tikofsky. This research was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY89-04035 at the ITP.

- 
- [1] G. V. Chester, Phys. Rev. A **2**, 256 (1970).
  - [2] A. J. Leggett, Phys. Rev. Lett. **25**, 1543 (1970).
  - [3] Z. Tesanovic, F. Axel, and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 8525 (1989).
  - [4] A. H. MacDonald, unpublished.
  - [5] E. Frey, D. R. Nelson and D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 9723 (1994).
  - [6] Y. Pomeau and S. Rica, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 2426 (1994).
  - [7] K. Mullen, H. T. C. Stoof, M. Wallin, and S. M. Girvin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 4013 (1994).
  - [8] L. Balents and D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 2618 (1994); see also unpublished.
  - [9] D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 1415 (1988); D. R. Nelson and S. Seung, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 9153 (1989).
  - [10] For a review, see S. C. Zhang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **6**, 25 (1992).
  - [11] To my knowledge, no such treatment exists even for *classical* hexatics.
  - [12] See, e.g. Ref. [8].
  - [13] R. D. Kamien and D. R. Nelson, unpublished.
  - [14] P. G. de Gennes and J. Prost, *The Physics of Liquid Crystals*, (Oxford University Press, New York, 1993).
  - [15] L. Balents, unpublished.
  - [16] R. B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 605 (1983).
  - [17] See, e.g. Ref. [4].
  - [18] Chester’s demonstration of translational order in his wavefunctions employed an analogous argument!
  - [19] J. M. Calliol et. al., J. Stat. Phys. **28**, 325.
  - [20] D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **22**, 1190 (1980).
  - [21] I am not aware of any quantitative estimates of the hexatic–liquid critical temperature in the vortex system.
  - [22] P. K. Lam and S. M. Girvin, Phys. Rev. B **30**, 473 (1984).
  - [23] The best candidate experiment is described in Ref. [27], which may have observed a superhexatic [7] or supersolid [15].

- [24] R. T. Scalettar, G. G. Batrouni, A. P. Kampf, and G. T. Zimanyi, preprint (1994).
- [25] H. Fukuyama and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **17**, 535 (1978).
- [26] See, e.g., M.-C. Cha and H. A. Fertig, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 14368 (1994).
- [27] M. T. Chen, J. Roesler, and J. M. Mochel, J. Low Temp. Phys. **89**, 125 (1992); J. M. Mochel and M. T. Chen, Physica (Amsterdam) **197B**, 278 (1994).